Summary of Recent Updates

- Global slow-control system
 - The server processes are being configured on e1039scrun
 - $\,\triangleright\,$ New UI for offline analyses was made, in order to consider the overall scheme
 - \triangleright A group meeting will be held this afternoon
- Communication of spill information
 - $\triangleright~$ The TCP/IP communication between target computer and NMR computer was tested
 - $\triangleright~$ It was found fast enough (< 3 ms), although an extra delay (10-100 ms) appeared when GUI was busy
- Test of polarization measurement on PDP
 - \triangleright PDP was executed with several N of sweeps/measurement
 - ▷ The measurement precision was found proportional to $1/\sqrt{N_{sweep}}$, although a time-dependent deterioration was observed
 - ▷ PDP has to be modified in order to carry out faster/longer measurements

Global Slow Control System

Short-term goal:

Set up and start the continuous slow-control monitoring

- ▷ Configure VIs and target computer to meet the general scheme
- \triangleright Check how readings and alarms appear on the monitor
- Updates
 - > The server processes are being configured on e1039scrun
 - New UI for offline analyses
 - ▷▷ The main system using EPICS + Archiver + CS-Studio is for online (i.e. real-time) monitoring and alarming
 - $\triangleright \triangleright$ Offline analyses should read TSV files, which preserve precise timing information
 - New web-browser-based UI: ACNET: https://e906-gatl.fnal.gov/data-summary/e1039/slow-cont-acnet.php Hodo HV: https://e906-gatl.fnal.gov/data-summary/e1039/slow-cont-hodohv.php Cham HV: https://e906-gatl.fnal.gov/data-summary/e1039/slow-cont-chamhv.php
 - $^{\triangleright\triangleright}~$ Similar pages for the other subsystems (like Hall Env) can be created

Communication of Spill Information

- Software spill signal
 - BOS, EOS & spill ID
- Protocol?
 - ▷ Propose to use TCP/IP connection
 - All info @ GitHub repository:

https://github.com/uva-spin/Test-VIs/tree/main/variable_sharing

- ▷▷ Purpose
- ▶▶ Required spec
- ▶▶ Desired spec
- Protocols tested
- $\triangleright \triangleright$ Test VIs

Measurement of communication time

- ▷ Target computer =[Spill info @ BOS timing] ⇒ NMR computer
- Test setup
 - ▷▷ Server VI @ target computer
 - $\triangleright \triangleright$ Special client VI @ NMR computer:

Test-VIs/variable_sharing/with_tcp_ip/tcp_client_meas_time.vi

Result



- $^{\scriptscriptstyle \triangleright \scriptscriptstyle \triangleright}~\sim 1~ms$ for TCP Open, $\sim 1~ms$ for TCP Read and < 1~ms for TCP Close
- ▷▷ Fast enough
- ▷▷ Caveat: The TCP Read takes 10-100 ms when GUI is manipulated. This extra delay should be common to all protocols. We should measure the time again with all VIs running on the target computer. If the delay is found significant, we should set up a computer dedicated for the spill-information server

Test of Polarization Measurement on PDP

• A new branch of the GitHub repository was created to develop PDP:

https://github.com/uva-spin/e1039-target-controls/tree/devel_pdp

- Purpose:
 Check whether the measurement precision (σ) $\propto 1/\sqrt{N_{sweep}}$
- \blacktriangleright N of sweeps/measurement tried
 - $^{\triangleright} \ \ 15 \ sweeps \approx \ 1 \ s \Longrightarrow multiple \ measurements \ during \ on-spill$
 - \triangleright 100 sweeps \approx 6 s
 - $\triangleright~250 \; sweeps \approx 16 \; s \Longrightarrow 3 \; measurements during off-spill$
- Question
 - \triangleright One measurement with $N_{sweep} = 15$ took 2 seconds. Any setting to speed it up?





Polarization value vs elapsed time



 \triangleright Small drifts (~ 10⁻⁵ /s) and jumps. Known??

• Deviation from 10-point average: $\delta_i^P \equiv P_i - \sum_j^{i=5\cdots i-1, i+1\cdots i+5} P_j/10$ • $N_{surger} = 15$



► Deviation from 10-point average: $\delta_i^P \equiv P_i - \sum_j^{i=5\cdots i-1, i+1\cdots i+5} P_j/10$ ▷ $N_{sweep} = 100$

N of sweeps/measurement = 100 불0.006 0.004 0.002 -0.002 -0.004 -0.006 1500 2500 Elapsed time (sec) N of sweeps/measurement = 100 h1 100 Entries 381 Mean 8.572e-07 35 Std Dev 0.001055 χ^2 / ndf 24 08 / 20 30 22 71 + 2 44 Mear 0.01 -0.008 -0.006 -0.004 -0.002 0 0.002 0.004 0.006 0.008 0.01 $\sigma_{Gaus} \cdot \sqrt{N_{sweep}} = 0.0084$ Deviation $\triangleright \sigma_{Gaus} \cdot \sqrt{N_{sweep}}$ is constant. OK

• Deviation from 10-point average: $\delta_i^P \equiv P_i - \sum_j^{i=5\cdots i-1, i+1\cdots i+5} P_j/10$ • $N_{sweep} = 250$



 $\sim \sigma_{Gaus} \cdot \sqrt{N_{sweep}}$ is larger. σ_{Gaus} seems smaller at later time. Any time-dependent effect?

Appendix

Test Case #1: "Global" Variable



- Possible
 - Variables are stored in "Global.vi"
- Remaining problems
 - Client projects cannot be opened nor executed without "Global.vi"

Test Case #2: "Shared" Variable



Possible

- Variables are stored in "E1039TargetComputerShared of "setter.lvproj"
- The variable type must be "Network-Published"

Remaining problems

Client projects cannot be opened nor executed without lvlib

Test Case #3: TCP/IP Connection

Server VI

- Listen on port 9999
- When connected and given "QUERY", send out spill ID



Client sub-VI

Send "QUERY" to

127.0.0.1:9999

- Receive spill ID
- $\,\triangleright\,$ Try multiple times (2 or more) with short timeouts (100 ms \times 3)

🔽 spi	l_param_tcp_que —			
File E	dit View Project Oper	rate 📕 📕 🏧		
	of 🕸 🦲 🛿 🛛 15 🔍	? 2		
n_try	client_step	spill id		
2	0	-1		
time_o	ut error out	status		
100	status code			
	source			
		· · · · · ·		
<		> .:		
spill_param_tcp_query.vi B	lock Diagram		-	• ×
File Edit View Project C	perate Tools Window	Help		
o 🐼 🦲 🛚 💡	🕵 🛏 🖬 🗊 15pt Ap	oplication Font 💌	lor 🙃 🔅	🔍 🤉 🚟
				^
n try	client_step %	d	(D)	TF status
127.0.0.1 UUERY¥r¥n 100				
1320		error out		
			7	~
<				>:

Connection test — Good case: when the server is running



Connection test — Bad case: when the server is not running



Slow Control Meeting - 2022-Jan-19