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## 1 Introduction

The presence of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ in the ammonia used in the polarized targets introduces an unwanted asymmetry because the nitrogen is partly polarized relative to the hydrogen or deuterium. To correct the proton or deuteron asymmetry we need to estimate or measure the nitrogen contribution to the measured asymmetry. The corrections for each target type are discussed below

## 2 Proton

For the proton measurements on ordinary ammonia $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ contribution can be determined from our measured asymmetry in the region below the $e-p$ elastic peak where no proton asymmetry is expected because scattering on free protons is forbidden.

We call $A^{M}(W)$ the measured counts asymmetry $\varepsilon$ corrected for the beam $P_{b}$ and (proton) target $P_{1}$ polarizations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{M}(W)=\frac{\varepsilon(W)}{P_{b} P_{1}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A^{M}(W)$ for protons is tabulated for $W<1.073 \mathrm{GeV}$ in the output files of the analysis. $A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right)$ depends only on the nitrogen contribution, where $W_{e l}$ is the lowest value of invariant mass for elastic scattering. $W_{e l}=0.85$ GeV is a value that works for both parallel and perpendicular asymmetries.

The expected number of counts from all the target components for each beam helicity $L(W)$ or $R(W)$ for every bin in $W$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(R)=\Phi\left(N_{15} \sigma_{15}^{L(R)}+N_{1} \sigma_{1}^{L(R)}+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is a flux factor, $N_{A}$ are the numbers of scattering nuclei of mass $A$, $\sigma_{A}^{L(R)}(W)$ represent polarized $e-$ nucleus cross sections (elastic or inelastic for H , quasielastic or inelastic for N ). All unpolarized nuclei are lumped in the sum. The polarized cross sections can be written in terms of nucleon polarized and unpolarized cross sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A}^{L(R)}(W)=\left(Z-Z^{\text {pol }}\right) \sigma_{p(A)}+\left(N-N^{p o l}\right) \sigma_{n(A)}+Z^{\text {pol }} \sigma_{p(A)}^{L(R)}+N^{p o l} \sigma_{n(A)}^{L(R)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z, N$ refer to the number of unpolarized protons and neutrons in the nucleus and $Z^{\text {pol }}, N^{\text {pol }}$ represent the polarized ones; $\sigma_{p, n(A)}$ are $e-n u c l e o n$ unpolarized cross sections for nuclear species $A$. The polarized nucleon cross sections $\sigma_{p, n(A)}^{L(R)}$ can be expressed in terms of unpolarized nucleon cross sections $\sigma_{p, n(A)}$ and nucleon asymmetries $A_{p, n(A)}(W)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p, n(A)}^{L(R)}(W)=\sigma_{p, n(A)}\left(1 \pm P_{b} P_{A} A_{p, n(A)}(W)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{b}$ is the beam polarization and $P_{A}$ is the polarization of nucleus $A$.
For ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ which has only one polarizable proton, we can simplify this notation using $\sigma_{15}^{L(R)}=\sigma_{15} \pm \sigma_{p(15)} P_{b} P_{15} A_{15}$, where $A_{15} \equiv A_{p(15)} \cdot \sigma_{15}$ is just the unpolarized $e-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ cross section. The rates for each helicity are

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(R)=\Phi\left(N_{15}\left(\sigma_{15} \pm \sigma_{p(15)} P_{b} P_{15} A_{15}\right)+N_{1} \sigma_{1}\left(1 \pm P_{b} P_{1} A_{1}\right)+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference over the sum of counts for each helicity is the counts asymmetry $\varepsilon(W)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\frac{L-R}{L+R}=\frac{P_{b}\left(N_{15} \sigma_{p(15)} A_{15} P_{15}+N_{1} \sigma_{1} A_{1} P_{1}\right)}{N_{15} \sigma_{15}+N_{1} \sigma_{1}+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The customary approach is to factor out the product $N_{1} \sigma_{1}$ and write $\varepsilon$ in terms of the proton dilution factor $f_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varepsilon=f_{1} P_{b}\left(\frac{N_{15} \sigma_{p(15)}}{N_{1} \sigma_{1}} A_{15} P_{15}+A_{1} P_{1}\right) \\
f_{1}=\frac{N_{1} \sigma_{1}}{N_{15} \sigma_{15}+N_{1} \sigma_{1}+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}} \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

However, this form becomes undefined for $\sigma_{1}\left(W<W_{e l}\right)=0$. The alternative solution is not to factor out $N_{1} \sigma_{1}$ but define a nitrogen dilution factor $f_{15}$,
as used by C. Harris [1]

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varepsilon(W)=P_{b}\left(f_{15}(W) P_{15} A_{15}(W)+f_{1}(W) P_{1} A_{1}(W)\right)  \tag{8}\\
\quad f_{i}(W)=\frac{N_{i} \sigma_{i}(W)}{N_{15} \sigma_{15}(W)+N_{1} \sigma_{1}(W)+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}(W)}
\end{array}
$$

where $i=1$ or $p(15)$.
With (8) it becomes possible to correct the measured asymmetry for the nitrogen contribution, using the average nitrogen asymmetry $\left\langle A_{15}(W)\right\rangle$ measured for $W<W_{e l}$, assuming that the asymmetry is independent of $W$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon\left(W<W_{e l}\right)=P_{b} P_{15} f_{15}\left(W<W_{e l}\right) A_{15}\left(W<W_{e l}\right) \\
=P_{b} P_{1} A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

in terms of the measured asymmetry defined in (1). The nitrogen asymmetry is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A_{15}\left(W<W_{e l}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{P_{1}}{P_{15}}\left\langle\frac{A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right)}{f_{15}\left(W<W_{e l}\right)}\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proton asymmetry for $W \geq W_{e l}$ can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(W)=P_{b}\left(f_{15}(W) P_{15}\left\langle A_{15}\right\rangle+f_{1}(W) P_{1} A_{1}(W)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1}(W) & =\frac{1}{f_{1}(W)}\left(\frac{\varepsilon(W)}{P_{b} P_{1}}-f_{15}(W) \frac{P_{15}}{P_{1}}\left\langle A_{15}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{f_{1}(W)}\left(A^{M}(W)-f_{15}(W)\left\langle\frac{A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right.}{f_{15}\left(W<W_{e l}\right)}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$A_{15}$ can also be estimated from models of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ [2]. From the angular momentum decomposition of the $p_{1 / 2}$ level that is populated by the unpaired proton in the single particle shell model, one expects $A_{15}^{\text {model }}(W)=$ $P_{p(15)} A_{1}(W)=-A_{1}(W) / 3$, where $A_{1}$ is the proton asymmetry and the factor $-1 / 3$ is the effective polarization of the unpaired proton in nitrogen $P_{p(15)}$. In order to solve for $A_{1}$ using $A_{15}^{\text {model }}$ one needs to go back to (8),

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\varepsilon(W) & = & P_{b}\left(-\frac{1}{3} f_{15}(W) P_{15} A_{1}(W)+f_{1}(W) P_{1} A_{1}(W)\right) \\
& = & P_{b} P_{1} f_{1}(W)\left(-\frac{1}{3} \frac{f_{15}(W)}{f_{1}(W)} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{1}}+1\right) A_{1}(W) \\
& = & P_{b} P_{1} f_{1}(W) C_{N} A_{1}(W) \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

and the customary nitrogen correction $C_{N}$ for DIS is recovered

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{N}=1-\frac{1}{3} \frac{f_{15}(W)}{f_{1}(W)} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{1}} \\
& =1-\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{1}} g_{E M C}(W) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last form comes from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{15}(W)}{f_{1}(W)}=\frac{N_{15}}{N_{1}} \frac{\sigma_{p(15)}}{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{1}{3} g_{E M C}(W) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ratio of the DIS $e-p$ cross sections on nitrogen and on hydrogen is approximated by the EMC effect parameterization $g_{E M C}(W)$ (more correctly $\left.g_{E M C}(x)\right)$.

It is important to keep track of the opposite relative signs of $P_{15}$ and $P_{1}$. $P_{1}$ and $P_{15}$ are related by fits to experimental data, for example
$\left|P_{15}\right| \%=-\left(0.0312 \%+5.831 \times 10^{-2}\left|P_{1}\right|+8.935 \times 10^{-4}\left|P_{1}\right|^{2}+8.685 \times 10^{6}\left|P_{1}\right|^{3}\right)$
which is based on E143 plus PSI measurements of positive and negative enhancements. $P_{1}$ needs to be in $\%$.

Applying this correction to the asymmetries in the resonances, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{M}(W)=\frac{\varepsilon(W)}{f_{1}(W) P_{t} P_{b}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

the inelastic proton asymmetry is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}(W)=\frac{A^{M}(W)}{C_{N}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form actually is valid at any $W \geq W_{e l}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{N}=1+\frac{A_{15}(W)}{A_{1}(W)} \frac{f_{15}(W)}{f_{1}(W)} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{1}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the expected value of $A_{1}$ is known from other measurements, such as the elastic asymmetry $A_{1}=A^{e l}(e-p)$, the absolute size of the nitrogen asymmetry can be estimated from $A_{15}^{\text {model }}$ to compare with the measured quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{M}(W)=\frac{\varepsilon(W)}{P_{b} P_{1}}=f_{1}(W) A_{1}(W)-\frac{1}{3} f_{15}(W) \frac{P_{15}}{P_{1}} A_{1}(W) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, for $W<W_{e l}$ where only nitrogen contributes $\left(f_{1}=0\right)$, $f_{15} \simeq$ 0.09, $P_{15} / P_{1} \sim-0.165, A_{1}=0.21$ for parallel data, and $A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right) \simeq$ 0.001. For perpendicular data, $A_{1}=-0.103$ and $A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right) \simeq-.0004$

The predicted values is not inconsistent with the measured values $A^{M}(W<$ $\left.W_{e l}\right) \simeq 0.003 \pm 0.0024$ for parallel data. $A^{M}\left(W<W_{e l}\right) \simeq 0.006 \pm 0.003$ for perpendicular data is somewhat less consistent, however, a significantly more precise measurment is needed to make a conclusive statement.

## 3 Deuteron

For $\mathrm{ND}_{3}$, we start with the counts asymmetry, including the contributions of ${ }^{15} N,{ }^{14} N$ and unsubstituted protons, all of which polarize together with the deuterium

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon=\frac{L-R}{L+R}=\frac{1}{N_{15} \sigma_{15}+N_{2} \sigma_{2}+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}} P_{b}\left(N_{2} P_{2} \sigma_{2} A_{2}+N_{15} P_{15} \sigma_{p}^{15} A_{p}^{15}\right. \\
\left.+N_{14} P_{14}\left(\sigma_{p}^{14} A_{p}^{14}+\sigma_{n}^{14} A_{n}^{14}\right)+N_{1} P_{1} \sigma_{1} A_{1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the notation has been changed slightly, $\sigma_{p}^{A} \equiv \sigma_{p(A)}$, etc., to fit in the margins. Collecting the common terms, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon= & f_{2} P_{b}\left(P_{2} A_{2}+\frac{N_{15} \sigma_{p}^{15}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} P_{15} A_{p}^{15}\right. \\
f_{2}= & \left.\frac{N_{14}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} P_{14}\left(\sigma_{p}^{14} A_{p}^{14}+\sigma_{n}^{14} A_{n}^{14}\right)+\frac{N_{1} \sigma_{1}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} P_{1} A_{1}\right) ;  \tag{22}\\
N_{15} \sigma_{15}+N_{2} \sigma_{2}+\sum N_{A} \sigma_{A}
\end{array}
$$

We need to write $A_{p, n}^{14,15}$ in terms of proton $A_{1}$ and neutron $A_{n}$ asymmetries. For $A_{p}^{15}$ we use the SPS model discussed earlier for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}: A_{15}(W)=$ $-A_{1}(W) / 3$. For $A_{p, n}^{14}$ we have contributions from the proton and the neutron, both of which can also be described with the SPS model too. However, there are no data on $A_{n}$ at RSS kinematics, so we make use of the relation between the deuteron asymmetry and the proton and neutron asymmetries $\sigma_{2} A_{2}=\gamma_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}^{(2)} A_{1}+\sigma_{n}^{(2)} A_{n}\right)$ to solve for $A_{n}$

$$
\sigma_{p}^{14} A_{p}^{14}+\sigma_{n}^{14} A_{n}^{14}=-\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{p}^{14} A_{1}\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{n}^{14}}{\sigma_{n}^{(2)}} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{(2)}}{\sigma_{p}^{14}}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{2} A_{2}}{\gamma_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{n}^{14}}{\sigma_{n}^{(2)}}\right),
$$

The factor $\gamma_{2}=0.924$ represents the effective polarization of the nucleons in the deuterons (not exactly unity due to the deuteron D-state, where the nucleons are aligned antiparallel with respect to the deuteron spin [2]).

The ratio $\left(\sigma_{n}^{14} \sigma_{1}^{(2)}\right) /\left(\sigma_{n}^{(2)} \sigma_{p}^{(14)}\right)$ is almost exactly one, so we neglect the term involving $A_{1}$. Substituting and collecting terms

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & =f_{2} P_{b}\left(P_{2} A_{2}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{15} \sigma_{p}^{15}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} P_{15} A_{1}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{14}}{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{n}^{14}}{\sigma_{n}^{(2)}} P_{14} A_{2}+\frac{N_{1} \sigma_{1}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} P_{1} A_{1}\right) \\
& =f_{2} P_{b} P_{2}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{14}}{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{2}} \frac{P_{14}}{P_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{n}^{14}}{\sigma_{n}^{(2)}}\right) A_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{15} \sigma_{p}^{15}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{2}}-\frac{N_{1} \sigma_{1}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} \frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right) A_{1}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Before proceeding to the full solution of eq.( 23 ) for $A_{2}$, we can estimate the magnitude of the corrections involved, by looking at the numerical values of the different factors as they apply to $R S S$.

Table 1. Numeric values of factors.

| Labels | Values | Ratio | Alternative ratio* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{1} / N_{2}$ | $1 \% / 99 \%$ | 0.01 |  |
| $N_{14} / N_{2}$ | $2 \% /\left(3^{*} 99 \%\right)$ | 0.0067 |  |
| $N_{15} / N_{2}$ | $98 \% /\left(3^{*} 99 \%\right)$ | .330 |  |
| $P_{1} / P_{2}$ |  | 4.4 | 2 |
| $P_{14} / P_{2}$ |  | 0.48 | 0.33 |
| $P_{15} / P_{2}$ |  | -0.50 | -0.4 |

*Polarization ratio from EST; alternative ratio from E143 technical run.

The main correction comes from the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ contribution. Neglecting the others one gets

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\frac{\varepsilon}{f_{2} P_{b} P_{2}} & =A_{2}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{15} \sigma_{p}^{15}}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{2}} A_{1}=A_{2}-\frac{1}{3} 0.33 \times(-0.5) \frac{\sigma_{p}^{15}}{\sigma_{2}} A_{1} \\
\frac{\varepsilon(W)}{f_{2}(W) P_{b} P_{2}} & = & A_{2}(W)\left(1+0.055 \frac{\sigma_{p}^{15}(W)}{\sigma_{2}(W)} \frac{A_{1}(W)}{A_{2}(W)}\right) \\
& \simeq & A_{2}(1.055) \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

taking the proton to deuteron resonances cross section ratio $\sim 0.5$, and the $R S S$ measured ratio of raw proton to deuteron asymmetries $\sim 2$. So the ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ correction represents a $\sim 6 \%$ relative reduction to the measured deuteron asymmetry.

Collecting the coefficients of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ into

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C_{1}(W)=\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{15} \sigma_{p}^{15}(W)}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}(W)} \frac{P_{15}}{P_{2}}-\frac{N_{1} \sigma_{1}(W)}{N_{2} \sigma_{2}(W)} \frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}} \\
C_{2}(W)=1-\frac{1}{3} \frac{N_{14}}{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{2}} \frac{P_{14}}{P_{2}} \frac{\sigma_{n}^{14}(W)}{\sigma_{n}^{(2)}(W)} \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

the deuteron asymmetry corrected for the contributions of other polarized nuclei is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}(W)=\frac{1}{C_{2}(W)}\left(\frac{\varepsilon(W)}{f_{2}(W) P_{b} P_{2}}+C_{1}(W) A_{1}(W)\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ignoring for the time being the $W$ dependence of $C_{1,2}$, we can estimate the relative size of these corrections:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C_{1} \sim \frac{1}{3} \times 0.330 \times 0.5 \times(-0.5)-0.01 \times 0.5 \times 4.4=-0.050 \\
C_{2}=1-\frac{1}{3} \times 0.007 \frac{1}{0.924} 0.48 \times 1=1-0.001 \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

from which we conclude that the most important correction is $C_{1} ; C_{2}$ is entirely negligible.
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