## Study of the azimuthal angle distributions of BH cross sections with k, Q2, xB and t.

The behavior of BH cross sections vs with Q<sup>2</sup> is explored at three different values of the beam energy while keeping the values of x<sub>B</sub> at 0.343 and t at

-0.172. The low, medium and high values of the beam energy used were respectively 3, 11 and 30 GeV. For each energy it was analized the region of  $Q^2 v$  alues that allows to obtain valid and non-negative values of the cross sections. These ranges are summarized in Table 1. For medium and high *k* the same ( $^2$  range was found.

Low k			Medium k		High k
3 GeV			11 GeV		30 GeV
Q <sup>2</sup> (GeV <sup>2</sup> )					
[0.219 – 1.832 ]			[0.219 – 5.515]		
Low Q <sup>2</sup>	Medium Q <sup>2</sup>	High Q <sup>2</sup>	Low Q <sup>2</sup>	Medium Q	<sup>2</sup> High Q <sup>2</sup>
0.4 GeV <sup>2</sup>	1.2 GeV <sup>2</sup>	1.82 GeV <sup>2</sup>	0.22 GeV <sup>2</sup>	3 GeV <sup>2</sup>	5.2 GeV <sup>2</sup>
t					
[-0.0990.690]	[-0.131 – -1.645]	[-0.139 – -1.475]	[-0.077 – -0.176]	[-0.146 – -1.0	030] [-0.151 – -0.271]

Table 1: Limits of  $Q^2$  and t values that allow to obtain valid and non-negative values of the cross sections.

Figure 1 displays the resulting distributions of the BH cross sections vs varying  $Q^2$  in the ranges shown on Table 1 using steps of 0.2 GeV<sup>2</sup> for the low k and 0.45 GeV<sup>2</sup> for the medium and high k.



Figure 1: BH cross sections vs for different Q<sup>2</sup> values at low, medium and high k.

Furthermore, three values of  $Q^2$  (i.e. low  $Q^2$ , medium  $Q^2$  and high  $Q^2$ ) were selected on the valid  $Q^2$  ranges at low *k* and medium and high *k*. At low *k*, the selected values of  $Q^2$  were 0.4, 1.2 and 1.82 GeV<sup>2</sup>. For medium and high *k* it was selected  $Q^2$  at 0.22, 3 and 5.2 GeV<sup>2</sup>. Similarly, at the selected values of  $Q^2$  for low medium and high *k*, it was studied the behavior of the BH cross sections vs for different values of *t*. Table 1 shows for the given values of *k* and  $Q^2$ , the range of *t* where defined non-negative cross sections values are found. The results are shown on the Figures 2, 3 and 4 for low, medium and high *k* respectively.



Figure 2: BH cross sections vs for different t values at low k and low (left), medium (center) and high (right)  $Q^2$ .



Figure 3: BH cross sections vs for different t values at medium k and low (left), medium (center) and high (right)  $Q^2$ .



Figure 4: BH cross sections vs for different t values at high k and low (left), medium (center) and high (right) Q<sup>2</sup>.

## • Kinematic region where the cross section vs behaves closer to a linear distribution.

The dependence of the azimuthal angle distributions of BH cross sections with  $x_B$  is shown on the following graphs. The values of k, Q<sup>2</sup> and t are fixed at 30 GeV, 3 GeV<sup>2</sup> and -0.151 respectively since as seen on the previous graphs, the cross section vs has a flatter behavior around those values. At those kinematics,  $x_B$  can take values between 0.054 and 0.348. The obtained distributions show that the cross sections become closer to a linear behavior as  $x_B$  increases.



Figure 5: BH cross sections vs for different  $x_B$  between 0.054 and 0.342 in logarithmic scale (left) and linear scale (right) around  $x_B$  higher values.



Figure 6: BH cross sections vs for different  ${\rm x}_{\rm B}$  between 0.318 and 0.348 with 0.005 step size.

Figure 6 shows that the behavior is nearly linear when x<sub>B</sub> is equal to 0.348. Therefore x<sub>B</sub> is fixed at that value while exploring the dependency of BH cross sections vs with t. Figures 7 and 8 show the resulting distribution when changing t.



Figure 7: BH cross sections vs for different t values between -0.151 and -1.015 in logarithmic scale (left) and linear scale (right) for the highest values of t.



Figure 8: BH cross sections vs for different t between -0.151 and -0.231 with -0.01 step size.